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BACKGROUND & AIMS

The presence of alveolar ridge deficiencies is considered a major limitation to achieve an implant-prosthetic integration with high aesthetics and stability over time. Guided Bone Regeneration (GBR) can be considered an effective solution for bone augmentation. The most advanced technology of GBR is the customized titanium mesh (Ti-mesh), which is developed with a fully digital workflow system. The aim of this randomized clinical trial is to compare complications rates and bone augmentation rates after GBR using customized mesh with collagen membranes versus customized mesh alone.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

30 patients with horizontal and/or vertical bone defects during reconstructive surgery (T0), were randomly divided into two study groups: 15 patients were treated by means of a custom-made mesh (Ti-mesh + RTK, BTK, Verona, Italy) without collagen membranes (group a – control group), while 15 patients were treated by means of a custom-made titanium mesh with a collagen membrane (Cytoplast RTM, Osteogenics, Deore, Verona, Italy) (group b – test group). All sites were grafted with a mixture 50:50 of autogenous bone, harvested from the external oblique ridge of the mandibular rami using an afescraper, and xenograft (Z-core, Osteogenics, Deore, Verona, Italy). Primary closure was performed with Cytoplast PTFE sutures. Osteogenics, Deore, Verona, Italy) of surgical sites were obtained to ensure a submerged healing of the meshes. After 6 months (T1), re-entry surgery was completed to remove the meshes, evaluate the augmented volume and to place implants (BT SAFE, BTK, BTK, Verona, Italy) in the augmented sites. After 3 months (T2), soft tissue management was accomplished with implant exposure and a connective tissue graft, before prosthetic healing of the meshes. After 6 months (T3), data collection included surgical and healing complications, planned bone scrape (SBV, RBV) and bone density were not statistically different over the 2 groups (p=0.67).

DATA ANALYSIS & RESULTS

Up to date, all patients underwent to T0: 15 belonging to group a and 15 belonging to group b. 26 out 30 patients underwent to T1 and were considered for statistical analysis. Two early and two late exposure of the meshes were observed in the control group (27%); one abscess without exposure and one early exposure were noted in test group (20%). Mean values of SBV and RBV in the test group (20%) were less than 1.5mm in both groups (p=0.01), resulting in success rates of 100%. Finally, statistical analysis revealed significant differences in bone density between the mandible and maxilla (p=0.03).

No implants were lost over time and crestal bone loss were less than 1.5mm in both groups (p=0.05). The results of this randomized clinical trial showed that GBR using custom mesh is a reliable and predictable solution for bone augmentation of alveolar ridges. Both approaches result in interesting outcomes in vertical and horizontal defects. Complication and regeneration rates seem to be better in group with mesh and collagen membrane compared to mesh alone; however, data on GBR with customized meshes need further investigation to draw meaningful conclusions.

CONCLUSIONS & CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS
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